

**Regulation 4(3)(b)(iii). Summary of Representations Received Submitted to the Independent Examiner.**

<b>Respondent</b>	<b>Summary of Representation</b>
Bassford A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The designation of various areas of Local Green Space (South and West) does not meet the designation requirements set out in the NPPF. The South area is brownfield. The West area has limited ecological, recreational or amenity value.</li><li>• Their designation is included to hinder future housing development.</li><li>• The village centre should include the village Primary School.</li><li>• The plan does not allocate enough sites for housing development. The limited number excludes young people and families.</li><li>• Small and difficult sites fails to meet housing needs, driving up house prices. Sites will encourage uncontrolled growth.</li><li>• Sites within Gypsum Way create a better village footprint and avoid uncontrolled growth.</li></ul>
Camland Consulting Ltd	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Significant concerns with the robustness of the evidence of local housing need, the housing allocations and designated Local Green Space.</li><li>• Oppose the designation of the Kegworth Road/Home Farm (GOT02) as Local Green Space, which does not meet the criteria within the NPPF and is already protected as Green Belt.</li></ul>
Charterhouse Strategic Land	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Landowner of GOT04 (land south of Pygall Avenue) was not directly contacted during Regulation 15 consultation.</li><li>• Designation of GOT04 is not justified.</li></ul>

Respondent	Summary of Representation
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Contrary emerging Local Plan Part 2 which has removed the Green Belt designation and enabled the land to meet housing needs.</li> <li>• Level of assessment is inadequate</li> <li>• LGS is extensive – contrary to NPPF</li> <li>• Land is not demonstrably special – historically or ecologically.</li> </ul>
Coal Authority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No specific comments</li> </ul>
Cottee G	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not consulted on their land (Oaklands) being allocated as Local Green Space</li> <li>• Their land does not meet the NPPF criteria for designation.</li> </ul>
Davidsons Developments Ltd	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The LGS designation is not necessary on land adjoining the village which is protected by the Green Belt</li> <li>• Designation of GOT04 is contrary to Local Plan Part 2 and does not meet the NPPF criteria</li> <li>• Plan cannot amend the Green Belt boundary to allocate sites</li> <li>• Allocations have deliverability issues.</li> <li>• Plan does not meet Basic Conditions</li> </ul>
East Leake Parish Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Welcome the objective of keeping Gotham and East Leake separate.</li> <li>• Local Green Space is extensive – contrary to NPPF</li> <li>• Housing sites don't need to be allocated as they are proposed to be infilled.</li> <li>• Local Plan Part 2 allocation should be identified within the plan.</li> </ul>

Respondent	Summary of Representation
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Object to Policy E1. Site should meet the needs of British Gypsum for storage needs.</li> <li>• Land owned by British Gypsum to the south could meet employment needs.</li> <li>• Support approaches to improve connectivity between East Leake and Gotham. Policy T1 should be improved to reflect this.</li> </ul>
Gladman Developments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Areas of Local Green Space are already protected by Green Belt designation.</li> <li>• Local Green Space is not in general conformity with the emerging Local Plan Part 2 which allocates GOT5a for housing.</li> <li>• Does not comply with Basic Conditions (a) and (e).</li> </ul>
Highways England	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It is not considered that there will be any impacts on the operation of the Strategic Road Network.</li> </ul>
National Grid	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No impact on high voltage overhead powerlines or high pressure gas apparatus.</li> </ul>
Natural England	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No specific comments on this draft neighbourhood plan.</li> </ul>
Potter, J	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Notion of plan and focus on meeting local need supported.</li> <li>• GOT10 would 'mirror' south of Clifton urban extension</li> <li>• If relocated to south of Clifton, Bus Depot would create light pollution upon a wider area.</li> </ul>
Raynor, V & C	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Plan is not consistent with Local Plan Part 2.</li> <li>• Robust environmental impact assessment has not been undertaken.</li> <li>• Personal bias has influenced the plan – most residents support the single Local Plan Part 2 allocation</li> <li>• Small sites are unlikely to deliver the homes needed for the elderly and families.</li> <li>• Housing Needs Assessment (Midland Rural Housing) indicates that the Glebe land site is not required.</li> </ul>
Rushcliffe Borough Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Neighbourhood Plan should mirror Local Plan Part 1's explanation of 'local needs', explain the implications of the Borough's five year supply of deliverable homes situation and the steps taken in Local Plan Part 2 to address this.</li> </ul>

Respondent	Summary of Representation
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The plan should not designate land allocated for housing in the emerging Local Plan Part 2 (GOT05a).</li> </ul> <p><u>Local Green Spaces</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The protection of Local Green Spaces does not accord with the NPPF.</li> <li>Some landowners of Local Green Spaces do not appear to have been consulted.</li> <li>Presence of ridge and furrow does not justify protection as Local Green Space.</li> <li>There is no detail provided of each sites beauty or tranquillity.</li> <li>Local Greenspace 'West' should be removed as it does not meet the criteria for designation within the NPPF (land is not accessible, and does not have any historic, environmental or amenity value).</li> </ul> <p><u>Housing Sites</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Until adoption of Local Plan Part 2, Gotham remains washed over by the Green Belt. Under the NPPF (2012), Green Belt boundaries can only be amended through the Local Plan process. Therefore, allocations within the plan that do not constitute limited infilling (which is permitted within Green Belt policy) should be removed.</li> </ul>
Severn Trent Water	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>GOT01 and GOT10 have medium risk of impacts on sewerage infrastructure. Capacity improvements are likely to be required. Further assessment required at planning application stage.</li> </ul>
Sims, M	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Designation of land at Home Farm, Kegworth Road does not comply with NPPF selection criteria (paragraph 77).</li> <li>Not demonstrably special to the community</li> </ul>
Sports England	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No specific or detailed comments</li> </ul>
Wilkins, L	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Supports the plan's housing policies and protection of green fields.</li> </ul>