

From Tim Fahy  
Runnymede,  
Nicker Hill  
Keyworth,  
Nottingham  
NG12 5EA

I write in response to the consultation on the amendments to the Greenbelt around Keyworth.

I support the views and fully endorse the content contained in the letter of Helen Broadhurst of Vale Planning Consultants.

In particular, I feel strongly that land in area Key B off Nicker Hill is amongst the least suitable of potential sites to be removed from the Greenbelt.

I feel that the emerging but not yet confirmed Keyworth Neighbourhood Plan has been detracted from planning issues by an offer, though not a commitment, to build homes for the elderly/those in need of extra care on the site Key B at Nicker Hill.

The village plan of 2011 had expressed, after consultation, a strong preference to preserve the north east view from Keyworth without development. The benefit to Keyworth residents looking out of the village of an uninterrupted rural panorama would be lost if Land at Key B was removed from the Greenbelt and a ribbon of development allowed. Nicker Hill is an important walk, almost leisure facility used by the community to enjoy the rural feel and only open views North East out of the village. This aspect would be lost and replaced with an urban feel.

Key B is also remote from the centre of the village and its amenities and would be difficult to access without the need for additional car journeys. Indeed, this makes it particularly unsuitable for the type of housing the developer proposes at the site. There are also questions about the adequacy and safety of highways access to the site from the existing road network.

I therefore think that other sites in Keyworth as technically articulated in Helen Broadhurst's letter should be those removed from the Greenbelt in preference to site Key B.

Regards

Tim Fahy

Sent from my iPad