

Online response

Rushcliffe Local Plan Part 2: Land and Planning Policies – Preferred Housing Sites

Respondent no: **1073394**

Respondent		Agent details (where applicable)
ASLOCKTON PARISH COUNCIL Cllr Kath Auckland	Name	
Aslockton Parish Council	Organisation	
1073394	ID number	

Housing Development

Housing Land Supply

Question 1: Do you agree with the Council's proposal that Local Plan Part 2 should identify enough land for around 2,550 new homes?

Answer

No

Please provide any comments you wish to make to support your response.

Aslockton Parish Council question the increase from originally 1100 houses, to 2000 to now to include a 20% buffer to 2550 resulting in more houses being built in rural less sustainable locations which contravenes the basic Core Strategy Policy of urban concentration and regeneration. The problem of slow delivery on the larger sites in strategic locations should be addressed before allocating more housing in other

areas.

Housing Sites within the Main Urban Area

Question 2: Do you agree with the Council's proposed allocation of the Abbey Road Depot (site WB01) for the development of around 50 new homes?

Answer

Yes

Please provide any comments you wish to make to support your answers.

You may like to give your views on what development should look like, in terms of the design, mix and layout of new housing and other uses (for example, open space) on site.

Aslockton Parish Council feel that priority should be given to brownfield sites

Housing Sites adjacent to the Main Urban Area

Question 3: Do you agree with the Council's proposal that no sites adjacent to the main urban area of Nottingham (within Rushcliffe) should be allocated for housing development through Local Plan Part 2?

Answer

No

Please provide any comments you wish to make to support your response.

Aslockton Parish Council feel further sites should be found adjacent to the main urban area in preference over rural locations where there are sustainability issues.

Housing Development at the 'Key Settlements'

Bingham

Question 4: Do you agree with the Council’s proposal that no sites adjacent to Bingham should be allocated for housing development through Local Plan Part 2?

Answer

No

Please provide any comments you wish to make to support your response.

Aslockton Parish Council feel that expanding the strategic sites at Bingham should be prioritised over development in "other villages" that have fewer facilities and poorer transport links.

Cotgrave

Question 5: Do you agree that Local Plan Part 2 should allocate greenfield land for housing development at Cotgrave for around 350 homes in total?

Answer

Yes

Please provide any comments you wish to make to support your response.

Aslockton Parish Council supports allocations in Cotgrave being a area undergoing regeneration and growth with good transport links Nottingham.

Question 6: Do you support the proposed allocation for housing development of the following sites at Cotgrave:

	Answer
Site COT01 – Land rear of Mill Lane/The Old Park (estimated capacity around 170 homes)	Yes
Sites COT09 – Land south of Hollygate Lane (1); COT10 – Land south of Hollygate Lane (2); and COT11a – Land south of Hollygate Lane (3a) (estimated capacity around 180 homes)	Yes

	Answer
--	--------

Please provide any comments you wish to make to support your answers.

For each of the proposed housing sites, you may like to give your views on what development should look like, in terms of the design, mix and layout of new housing and other uses (for example, open space) on site.

Although Aslockton Parish Council does not know the area to comment on individual sites, it supports the general principle of allocations at Cotgrave for the same reasons as answered in question 5.

East Leake

Question 7: Do you agree with our proposal that only sites EL01, EL02, EL04, EL05 and EL08 (as shown at Figure 4) should be allocated for housing development at East Leake?

Answer	Yes
--------	-----

Please provide any comments you wish to make to support your response.

Aslockton Parish Council support development being directed towards key settlements in preference over less sustainable rural locations and agree East Leake has already met sufficient allocations for its size.

Keyworth

Question 8: Do you agree that Local Plan Part 2 should allocate greenfield land for housing development at Keyworth for around 580 homes in total?

Answer	Yes
--------	-----

Please provide any comments you wish to make to support your response.

Aslockton Parish Council feels that as a key settlement near to Nottingham with a large number of possible sites, Keyworth should be having at least a similar proportion of allocations to that of Bingham, East Leake and Radcliffe. So in addition to supporting the 580 houses, we feel the figure should be higher.

Question 9: Do you support the proposed allocation for housing development of the following sites at Keyworth.

	Answer
Site KEY4a – Land off Nicker Hill (1) (estimated capacity around 150 homes)	Yes
Site KEY8 – Land between Platt Lane and Station Road (estimated capacity around 190 homes)	Yes
Site KEY10 – Land south of Debdale Lane (1) (estimated capacity around 190 homes)	Yes
Site KEY13 – Hillside Farm (estimated capacity around 50 homes)	Yes

Please provide any comments you wish to make to support your answers.

For each of the proposed housing sites, you may like to give your views on what development should look like, in terms of the design, mix and layout of new housing and other uses (for example, open space) on site.

Radcliffe on Trent

Question 10: Do you agree that Local Plan Part 2 should allocate greenfield land for housing development at Radcliffe on Trent for around 820 homes in total?

Answer	Yes
--------	-----

Please provide any comments you wish to make to support your response.

Being located so close to the main urban area Aslockton Parish Council agree Radcliffe should have a substantial proportion of the housing allocations in key settlements, but also agree the need for improved infrastructure to accommodate the increase.

Question 11: Do you support the proposed allocation for housing development of the following sites at Radcliffe on Trent.

	Answer
Site RAD01 – Land north of Nottingham Road (estimated capacity around 150 homes), with employment development to the west of the powerlines that separate the site.	Yes
Site RAD02 – Land adjacent Grooms Cottage (estimated capacity around 50 homes)	Yes
Site RAD03 – Land off Shelford Road (estimated capacity around 400 homes)	Yes
Site RAD05a – Land north of Grantham Road to south of railway line (1a) (estimated capacity around 140 homes)	Yes
Site RAD06 – 72 Main Road (estimated capacity around 5 homes)	Yes
Site RAD13 – The Paddock, Nottingham Road (estimated capacity around 75 homes)	Yes

Please provide any comments you wish to make to support your answers.

For each of the proposed housing sites, you may like to give your views on what development should look like, in terms of the design, mix and layout of new housing and other uses (for example, open space) on site.

Ruddington

Question 12: Do you agree that Local Plan Part 2 should allocate greenfield land for housing development at Ruddington for around 410 homes in total?

Answer

Yes

Please provide any comments you wish to make to support your response.

Aslockton Parish Council is surprised that having such a large range of potential sites, Ruddington, as a key settlement, has a somewhat lower percentage increase than not only most of the key settlements but that of some of the other villages. Whatever reasons these sites were considered unsuitable, they surely would be in a more sustainable location than those in villages.

Question 13: Do you support the proposed allocation for housing development of the following sites at Ruddington.

	Answer
Site RUD01 – Land to the west of Wilford Road (south) (estimated capacity around 180 homes)	Yes
Site RUD05 – Land south of Flawforth Lane (estimated capacity around 50 homes)	Yes

	Answer
Site RUD11 – Old Loughborough Road (estimated capacity around 10 self and custom-build homes)	Yes
Site RUD13 – Land opposite Mere Way (estimated capacity around 170 homes)	Yes

Please provide any comments you wish to make to support your answers.

For each of the proposed housing sites, you may like to give your views on what development should look like, in terms of the design, mix and layout of new housing and other uses (for example, open space) on site.

Housing Development at the 'Other Villages'

Cropwell Bishop

Question 14: Do you agree that Local Plan Part 2 should allocate greenfield land for housing development at Cropwell Bishop for around 160 homes in total?

Answer

Yes

Please provide any comments you wish to make to support your response.

Aslockton Parish Council are reluctant to support allocations in villages for anything other than local need. However as a village, Cropwell Bishop among other key facilities, does have it's own Health Centre and as such must be considered as a preferred "other village" location.

Question 15: Do you support the proposed allocation for housing development of the following sites at Cropwell Bishop.

	Answer
Site CBI02 – Land north of Memorial Hall(1) (estimated capacity around 90 homes)	Yes
Site CBI05 – Land east of Church Street (estimated capacity around 70 homes)	Yes

Please provide any comments you wish to make to support your answers.

For both of the proposed housing sites, you may like to give your views on what development should look like, in terms of the design, mix and layout of new housing and other uses (for example, open space) on site.

East Bridgford

Question 16: Do you agree that Local Plan Part 2 should allocate greenfield land for housing development at East Bridgford for around 100 homes in total?

Answer	Yes

Please provide any comments you wish to make to support your response.

Again Aslockton Parish Council are reluctant to support allocations in villages for anything other than local needs but as a village with its own Health Centre it must be considered as a preferred location.

Question 17: Do you support the proposed allocation for housing development of the following sites at East Bridgford

	Answer
Site EBR06 – Closes Side Lane (west) (estimated capacity around 20 homes)	Yes

	Answer
Site EBR07 – Closes Side Lane (east) (estimated capacity around 20 homes)	Yes
Site EBR8 – Land to the north of Butt Lane (estimated capacity around 15 homes)	Yes
Site EBR10 – Land south of Butt Lane (estimated capacity around 45 homes)	Yes

Please provide any comments you wish to make to support your answers.

For each of the proposed housing sites, you may like to give your views on what development should look like, in terms of the design, mix and layout of new housing and other uses (for example, open space) on site.

The sites being on the edge of the village shouldn't add to the congestion on the Main Street

Gotham

Question 18: Do you agree that Local Plan Part 2 should allocate greenfield land for housing development at Gotham for around 100 homes in total?

Answer

Yes

Please provide any comments you wish to make to support your response.

Aslockton Parish Council agrees that Gotham has the facilities to accommodate 100 houses.

Question 19: Do you support the proposed allocation for housing development of the following site at Gotham:

	Answer
Site GOT5a – Land east of Gypsum Way/The Orchards (1) (estimated capacity around 100 homes)	Yes

Please provide any comments you wish to make to support your answers.

You may like to give your views on what development should look like, in terms of the design, mix and layout of new housing and other uses (for example, open space) on site.

Bunny Brickworks

Question 20: Do you support the proposed allocation of the former Bunny Brickworks (site BUN01) for a mixed development of around 100 new homes and employment development?

Answer	Yes
--------	-----

Please provide any comments you wish to make to support your answers.

You may like to give your views on what development should look like, in terms of the design, mix and layout of new housing and other uses (for example, open space) on site.

Aslockton Parish Council fully supports allocation of brownfield sites

Flintham – Former Islamic Institute

Question 21: Do you support the proposed allocation of the former Islamic Institute at Flintham for the development of up to 95 new homes?

Answer	Yes
--------	-----

Please provide any comments you wish to make to support your answers.

Aslockton Parish Council fully supports allocation of brownfield sites

Other Issues

Question 22: Please identify any matters related to housing development which are not covered here or elsewhere and which you wish to raise.

Although this consultation has been referring to the allocation of preferred housing sites, Aslockton Parish Council feel there should be further clarification on housing at other small villages - what is small scale and what is the exact definition of infill. When is the distance between houses too great to be considered infill? We would also like to reiterate our agreement with Local Plan Part 2 Further Options consultation document that the 75 houses on Abbey Lane, Aslockton will be included in Local Plan Part 2 as housing allocation and it would not be sustainable for any further greenfield sites to be identified.