

Comment

Consultee	Mr Ian Dickinson (981945)
Email Address	
Company / Organisation	Canal & River Trust
Address	The Kiln Mather Road Newark NG24 1FB
Event Name	Local Plan Part 2 Further Options
Comment by	Canal & River Trust (Mr Ian Dickinson)
Comment ID	98
Response Date	31/03/17 11:13
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1

Please provide any comments you wish to make to support your answers. For any of the sites, this could include comments on the services and facilities required to support development and the design, mix and layout of development.

Site COT1

This site lies to the west of the Grantham Canal, and the north-east corner of the site is only some 25-30m from the canal towpath. Any development of this site should consider opportunities to create pedestrian/cycle links to the canal towpath, which will also give access to the wider Cotgrave Country Park.

Site COT11

This site lies immediately south of the Grantham Canal and adjoins the canal towpath for a distance of approximately 370m. The canal is owned and managed by the Canal & River Trust. Any development of this site should take account of the canal and ensure that the character and appearance of the canal is appropriately protected. Consideration should be given to maintaining a landscaped area adjacent to the canal, including retention of the existing mature hedgerow on the site boundary in order to minimise the visual impact of development and to protect the ecological interest of the canal corridor.

Question 17

The villages which we have identified as potentially suitable to accommodate a limited level of housing development on greenfield sites are as follows:

- . Cropwell Bishop;
- . East Bridgford;
- . Gotham;
- . Sutton Bonington; and

Tollerton

These particular villages have been identified because, while they do not provide for a full range of facilities as is the case at West Bridgford and the key settlements, the basic level of facilities (e.g. schools; doctors' surgery) that are available are deemed capable of potentially supporting a relatively limited level of housing growth without compromising the strategy set out in Local Plan Part 1 (Core Strategy) for the distribution of new housing.

Should Local Plan Part 2 identify the following 'other' villages as suitable for a limited level of housing growth on greenfield sites?

Cropwell Bishop

East Bridgford

Gotham

Sutton Bonington

Tollerton

Any other settlement (please specify which)

Question 19

There are a number of sites that have been put forward by developers and others as potentially suitable for housing development at Cropwell Bishop. These are shown on the plan below. Most of the sites, if identified as suitable for housing development, should be able to deliver new homes relatively quickly and, therefore, contribute to resolving the current shortfall in the amount of land that is available for housing development over the next few years.

We would like to know what you think about the suitability of each of those individual sites shown on the plan below and whether, in each case, the site should or should not be allocated for housing development. For any one site, it should be noted that there may be the option to develop only part of the site rather than its whole.

The development of any one of these sites would be likely to result in impacts which require mitigation and also opportunities to improve the local area. We would need to consider the facilities and services required, the type and design of housing, and how the site would be accessed by car and public transport, amongst a number of other issues.

Do you support housing development at:

Site CBI1 – Land to the south of Nottingham Road and east of Kinoulton Road (potential capacity around 30 homes)

Site CBI2 – Land north of Memorial Hall (1) (potential capacity around 75 homes)

Site CBI3– Land north of Memorial Hall (2) (potential capacity around 60 homes)

Site CBI4 – Land north of Fern Road (2) (potential capacity around 30 homes)

Site CBI5 – Land north of Fern Road (1) (potential capacity around 250 homes)

Site CBI6 – Land to the north of Fern Road (3) (potential capacity around 70 homes)

Any other location (please specify which)

Question 21

There are a number of sites that have been put forward by developers and others as potentially suitable for housing development at East Bridgford. These are shown on the plan below. Most of the sites, if identified as suitable for housing development, should be able to deliver new homes relatively quickly and, therefore, contribute to resolving the current shortfall in the amount of land that is available for housing development over the next few years.

We would like to know what you think about the suitability of each of those individual sites shown on the plan below and whether, in each case, the site should or should not be allocated for housing development. For any one site, it should be noted that there may be the option to develop only part of the site rather than its whole.

The development of any one of these sites would be likely to result in impacts which require mitigation and also opportunities to improve the local area. We would need to consider the facilities and services required, the type and design of housing, and how the site would be accessed by car and public transport, amongst a number of other issues.

Do you support housing development at:

Site EBR1 – Land behind Kirk Hill (east) (potential capacity around 15 homes)

Site EBR2 – Land behind Kirk Hill (west) (potential capacity around 70 homes)

Site EBR3 – Land north of Kneeton Road (1) (potential capacity around 95 homes)

Site EBR4 – Land north of Kneeton Road (2) (potential capacity around 150 homes)

Site EBR5 – Land at Lammas Lane (potential capacity around 40 homes)

Site EBR6 – Closes Side Lane (west) (potential capacity around 20 homes)

Site EBR7 – Closes Side Lane (east) (potential capacity around 20 homes)

Site EBR8 – Land to the north of Butt Lane (potential capacity around 20 homes)

Site EBR9 – Land to the south of Springdale Lane (potential capacity around 30 homes)

Any other location (please specify which)

Question 23

There are a number of sites that have been put forward by developers and others as potentially suitable for housing development at Gotham. These are shown on the plan below. Most of the sites, if identified as suitable for housing development, should be able to deliver new homes relatively quickly and, therefore, contribute to resolving the current shortfall in the amount of land that is available for housing development over the next few years.

We would like to know what you think about the suitability of each of those individual sites shown on the plan below and whether, in each case, the site should or should not be allocated for housing development. For any one site, it should be noted that there may be the option to develop only part of the site rather than its whole.

The development of any one of these sites would be likely to result in impacts which require mitigation and also opportunities to improve the local area. We would need to consider the facilities and services required,

the type and design of housing, and how the site would be accessed by car and public transport, amongst a number of other issues.

Do you support housing development at:

**Site GOT1 – Land to the rear of former British Legion
(potential capacity around 25 homes)**

**Site GOT2 – Land north of Kegworth Road/Home Farm
(west) (potential capacity around 50 homes)**

**Site GOT3 – Land north of Kegworth Road/Home Farm
(east) (potential capacity around 20 homes)**

**Site GOT4 – The Orchards, Leake Road (potential
capacity around 50 homes)**

**Site GOT5 – Land east of Gypsum Way/The Orchards
(potential capacity around 200 homes)**

**Site GOT6 – East of Leake Road (potential capacity
around 45 homes)**

**Site GOT7 – Land east of Hill Road (potential capacity
around 160 homes)**

**Site GOT8 – Land south of Moor Lane (potential capacity
around 15 homes)**

Any other location (please specify which)

Question 25

There is one site that has been put forward by a landowner as potentially suitable for housing development at Sutton Bonington. This is shown on the plan below. The site, if identified as suitable for housing development, should be able to deliver new homes relatively quickly and, therefore, contribute to resolving the current shortfall in the amount of land that is available for housing development over the next few years.

We would like to know what you think about the suitability of the site shown on the plan below and whether it should or should not be allocated for housing development. For any one site, it should be noted that there may be the option to develop only part of the site rather than its whole.

The development of the site would be likely to result in impacts which require mitigation and also opportunities to improve the local area. We would need to consider the facilities and services required, the type and design of housing, and how the site would be accessed by car and public transport, amongst a number of other issues.

Do you support housing development at:

**Site SUT1 – Land north of Park Lane (potential capacity
around 140 homes)**

Any other location (please specify which)