




Rushcliffe  
Borough Council

# Rushcliffe Green Belt Review Part 2 (b) (Draft for consultation)

GB 829909

## Response Form

Your Details		Agent details (where applicable)
DR. C. D. DAVENPORT	Name	
CHAIRMAN CROWWELL BUTLER PARISH COUNCIL GRANGEGATE LODGE, GRANGE PARK, CROWWELL BUTLER NOTTS NG12 3AW	Address	
	E-mail	

### 1. Green Belt Review Part 2 (b): Proposed insets for washed over villages

Do you consider the methodology for identifying the inset village Green Belt boundaries is appropriate (page 7 of the review)? If you do not, please state how the methodology for new inset boundaries could be improved.

Yes.

(please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

### 2. Green Belt Review Part 2 (b): Proposed insets for washed over villages

Do you agree or disagree with the suggested inset boundaries for the settlements currently washed over? If you disagree, state why the boundary is incorrect and where the new boundary should be. Your comment should focus on the contribution the land makes to the openness of the Green Belt, long term permanence and the presence of recognisable defensible boundaries.

AGREE - the outcome largely follows recommendations made by Crowwell Butler Parish Council (for Crowwell Butler, p.11), and we would be resistant to any further extension to the proposed inset area.

(please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

### 3. Green Belt Review Part 2 (b): Minor Amendments to Existing Boundaries

Do you consider the methodology for identifying minor amendments appropriate (pages 19 to 20 of the review)? If you do not, please state how the methodology for minor amendments could be improved.

Yes

(please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

### 4. Green Belt Review Part 2 (b): Minor Amendments to Existing Boundaries

Do you agree or disagree with the suggested minor amendments to existing settlement boundaries? If you disagree, please identify which minor amendment is incorrect and state why and how the minor amendment should be changed. This should be based on the contribution the land makes to the

openness of the Green Belt, long term permanence and the presence of recognisable defensible boundaries.

Agree

(please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

#### 5. Green Belt Review Part 2 (b): Key Settlement Review

Do you consider the methodology for assessing land around Rushcliffe's Key Settlements against the purposes for including land within the Green Belt is appropriate (pages 39 to 44 of the review)? If you do not, please state how the methodology could be improved.

Yes

(please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

#### 6. Green Belt Review Part 2 (b): Key Settlement Review

Do you agree or disagree with the assessment of Green Belt land around Rushcliffe's Key Settlements against the purposes for including land within the Green Belt (pages 44 to 148 of the review)? If you disagree, state why the assessment is incorrect and provide your Green Belt score and conclusions on Green Belt importance. Your comment should focus on the land's performance against Green Belt purposes.

Yes

(please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

#### 7. Please provide any others comments you wish to make

Croftwell Butler Parish Council wishes to express appreciation for their invitation to be involved in making recommendations for the Croftwell Butler inset boundary, and would further wish to be involved in any possible debate about any variations.

(please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Signed:

Date:

23/3/16

Please return by **5pm on Thursday 24 March 2016** to:

Planning Policy  
Rushcliffe Borough Council  
Civic Centre, Pavilion Road  
West Bridgford  
Nottingham. NG2 5FE

Or to: [localdevelopment@rushcliffe.gov.uk](mailto:localdevelopment@rushcliffe.gov.uk)

Please note that your comments can be directly entered through the Borough Council's online consultation system: <http://rushcliffe-consult.objective.co.uk/portal>

**Data protection:** The details you submit to the Borough Council will be used in the Local Plan preparation and associated processes. Please note that comments and personal details cannot be treated as confidential and may be made available for public inspection both physically and/or through the Borough Council's website. We may publish all names, addresses and comments received, including on our website. We will use our best endeavours to not publish signatures, personal telephone numbers or email addresses.