

Rushcliffe Local Plan Part 2: Land and P Policies – Preferred Housing Sites

Aldergate Properties Ltd 89170 (East Bridgford) agent Stone Planning 1024351

Response Form

Please return by **5pm on Monday 27 November 2017** to: Planning Policy, Rushcliffe Borough Council Rushcliffe Arena, Rugby Road Nottingham. NG2 7YG

Or to: localdevelopment@rushcliffe.gov.uk

Please note that your comments can be directly entered through the Borough Council's online consultation system: http://rushcliffe-consult.objective.co.uk/portal

Your Details		Agent details (where applicable)
Aldergate Properties Limited	Name	Paul Stone
Click here to enter text.	Address	9 Yardley Close, Swanwick,. Derbyshire.DE55 1EP
Click here to enter text.	E-mail	

Housing Development

Housing Land Supply

Question 1: Do you agree with the Council's proposal that Local Plan Part 2 should identify enough land for around 2,550 new homes?			
Yes			
No		\checkmark	
Don't know			
Please	provide any comments you wish to make to support your response.		

(please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)
Housing Sites within the Main Urban Area
Question 2: Do you agree with the Council's proposed allocation of the Abbey Road Depot (site WB01) for the development of around 50 new homes?
Yes
No
Please provide any comments you wish to make to support your answers. You may like to give your views on what development should look like, in terms of the design, mix and layout of new housing and other uses (for example, open space) on site.
Click here to enter text. (please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)
Housing Sites adjacent to the Main Urban Area
Question 3: Do you agree with the Council's proposal that no sites adjacent to the main urban area of Nottingham (within Rushcliffe) should be allocated for housing development through Local Plan Part 2?
Yes
No
Please provide any comments you wish to make to support your response.

This should be expressed as a minimum to provide greater flexibility. This is particularly important as the Council is reliant on a large number of very large

strategic sites where delivery will be delayed.

Housing Development at the 'Key Settlements'

Bingham

Question 4: Do you agree with the Council's proposal that no sites adjacent to Bingham should be allocated for housing development through Local Plan Part 2?
Yes
No
Please provide any comments you wish to make to support your response.
Click here to enter text.
(please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)
Cotgrave
Question 5: Do you agree that Local Plan Part 2 should allocate greenfield land for housing development at Cotgrave for around 350 homes in total?
Yes
No
Please provide any comments you wish to make to support your response.
Click here to enter text.
(please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

Question 6: Do you support the proposed allocation for housing development of	the
following sites at Cotgrave:	

Site COT01 – Land rear of Mill Lane/The Old Park (estimated capacity around 170 homes)			
Siton COTOO Land pouth of Hallygata Lana (1):			
Sites COT09 – Land south of Hollygate Lane (1); COT10 – Land south of Hollygate Lane (2); and COT11a – Land south of Hollygate Lane (3a) (estimated capacity around 180 homes)			
Please provide any comments you wish to make to support your answers. For each of the proposed housing sites, you may like to give your views on what development should look like, in terms of the design, mix and layout of new housing and other uses (for example, open space) on site.			
Click here to enter text. (please continue on a separate			

East Leake

Question 7: Do you agree with our proposal that only sites EL01, EL0 and EL08 (as shown at Figure 4) should be allocated for housing deve East Leake?			5
Yes		✓	
No			
Please provide any comments you wish to make to support your response	onse.		
Click here to enter text.			
(please continue on a separate	e sheet if r	necessary	')

Keyworth

Question 8: Do you agree that Local Plan Part 2 should allocate greenfield land for housing development at Keyworth for around 580 homes in total?				
Yes				
No				
Please provide any comments you wish to make to support yo	ur response	э.		
See attached document				
(please continue on	a separate she	et if necessary)		
Question 9: Do you support the proposed allocation for housing development of the following sites at Keywort.				
	Yes	No		
Site KEY4a – Land off Nicker Hill (1) (estimated capacity around 150 homes)		√		
Site KEY8 – Land between Platt Lane and Station Road (estimated capacity around 190 homes)	Ш	√		
Site KEY10 – Land south of Debdale Lane (1) (estimated capacity around 190 homes)	√			
Site KEY13 – Hillside Farm (estimated capacity around 50 homes)		√		
Please provide any comments you wish to make to support your answers.				
For each of the proposed housing sites, you may like to give y	our views o	nuhat		

housing and other uses (for example, open space) on site.

See attached

(please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Radcliffe on Trent

	t ion 10: Do you agree that Local Plan Part 2 should allocate greenfield l ng development at Radcliffe on Trent for around 820 homes in total?	and for
Yes		
No		
Please	e provide any comments you wish to make to support your response.	
Click he	ere to enter text.	
	(please continue on a separate sheet if n	ecessary)

Question 11: Do you support the proposed allocation for housing development of the following sites at Radcliffe on Trent.

	Yes	No
Site RAD01 – Land north of Nottingham Road (estimated capacity around 150 homes), with employment development to the west of the powerlines that separate the site.		
Site RAD02 – Land adjacent Grooms Cottage (estimated capacity around 50 homes)		
Site RAD03 – Land off Shelford Road (estimated capacity around 400 homes)		
Site RAD05a – Land north of Grantham Road to south of railway line (1a) (estimated capacity around 140 homes)		

		Yes	No
Site RAD06 – 72 Main Road (estimated capacity around 5 homes)			
Site RAD13 – The Paddock, Nottingham Road (estimated capacity around 75 homes)			
Please provide any comments you wish to make to support your answers. For each of the proposed housing sites, you may like to give your views on what development should look like, in terms of the design, mix and layout of new housing and other uses (for example, open space) on site.			
Click here to enter text. (please continuous)	nue on a sep	parate sheet i	if necessary)

Ruddington

	tion 12: Do you agree that Local Plan Part 2 should allocate greenfield ag development at Ruddington for around 410 homes in total?	land for
Yes		
No		
Please	e provide any comments you wish to make to support your response.	
Click he	ere to enter text.	
	(please continue on a separate sheet if	necessary)

Question 13: Do you support the proposed allocation for housing development of the following sites at Ruddington.

	Yes	No		
Site RUD01 – Land to the west of Wilford Road (south) (estimated capacity around 180 homes)				
Site RUD05 – Land south of Flawforth Lane (estimated capacity around 50 homes)				
Site RUD11 – Old Loughborough Road (estimated capacity around 10 self and custom- build homes)				
Site RUD13 – Land opposite Mere Way (estimated capacity around 170 homes)				
Please provide any comments you wish to make to support your answers. For each of the proposed housing sites, you may like to give your views on what development should look like, in terms of the design, mix and layout of new housing and other uses (for example, open space) on site. Click here to enter text.				
(please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)				
Housing Development at the 'Other Villages' Cropwell Bishop				
Question 14: Do you agree that Local Plan Part 2 should allocate greenfield land for housing development at Cropwell Bishop for around 160 homes in total?				
Yes				
No				

Please provide any comments you wish to make to support your response.

Click here to enter text.

(please continue on a	separate shee	et if necessary)		
Question 15: Do you support the proposed allocation for housing the following sites at Cropwell Bishop.	ng develop	ment of		
	Yes	No		
Site CBI02 – Land north of Memorial Hall(1) (estimated capacity around 90 homes)				
Site CBI05 – Land east of Church Street (estimated capacity around 70 homes)				
Please provide any comments you wish to make to support your answers. For both of the proposed housing sites, you may like to give your views on what development should look like, in terms of the design, mix and layout of new				
housing and other uses (for example, open space) on site. Click here to enter text.				
(please continue on a se	parate sheet i	f necessary)		
East Bridgford				
Question 16: Do you agree that Local Plan Part 2 should allocated housing development at East Bridgford for around 100 homes in	· ·	eld land for		
Yes				
No		√		
Please provide any comments you wish to make to support you	ır response			
This should be expressed as a minimum to provide greater flex	ibility Thio	ic		

This should be expressed as a minimum to provide greater flexibility. This is particularly important as the Council is reliant on a large number of very large strategic sites where deluivery will be delayed.

(please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Question 17: Do you support the proposed allocation for housing	development of
the following sites at East Bridgford	

the renewing enter at East Bridgiera				
	Yes	No		
Site EBR06 – Closes Side Lane (west) (estimated capacity around 20 homes)	√			
Site EBR07 – Closes Side Lane (east) (estimated capacity around 20 homes)	√			
Site EBR8 – Land to the north of Butt Lane (estimated capacity around 15 homes)				
Site EBR10 – Land south of Butt Lane (estimated capacity around 45 homes)				
Please provide any comments you wish to make to support you	ır answers.			
For each of the proposed housing sites, you may like to give your views on what development should look like, in terms of the design, mix and layout of new housing and other uses (for example, open space) on site.				
See attached				
(please continue on a se	eparate sheet i	f necessary)		
Gotham Question 18: Do you agree that Local Plan Part 2 should allocation housing development at Gotham for around 100 homes in total	•	eld land for		
Yes				
No				
Please provide any comments you wish to make to support you	ur response			
Click here to enter text.				
(please continue on a	separate shee	et if necessary		

	Yes	No
ite GOT5a – Land east of Gypsum Way/The Orchards (1) (estimated capacity around 100 homes)		
Please provide any comments you wish to make to suppor You may like to give your views on what development shouthe design, mix and layout of new housing and other uses space) on site.	uld look like, in	terms of
Click here to enter text.		
	on a separate sheet	if necessar
unny Brickworks Question 20: Do you support the proposed allocation of the Brickworks (site BUN01) for a mixed development of aroun	he former Buni	ny
unny Brickworks Question 20: Do you support the proposed allocation of the Brickworks (site BUN01) for a mixed development of around employment development?	he former Buni	ny
cunny Brickworks Question 20: Do you support the proposed allocation of the Brickworks (site BUN01) for a mixed development of around employment development? Yes	he former Buni	ny

Flintham - Former Islamic Institute

Question 21: Do you support the proposed allocation of the former Islamic Institute at Flintham for the development of up to 95 new homes?
Yes
No
Please provide any comments you wish to make to support your answers.
Click here to enter text.
(please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)
Other Issues

Question 22: Please identify any matters related to housing development which are not covered here or elsewhere and which you wish to raise.

Click here to enter text.

(please continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please return by **5pm on Monday 27 November 2017** to:

Planning Policy, Rushcliffe Borough Council Rushcliffe Arena Rugby Road Nottingham. NG2 7YG

Or to: localdevelopment@rushcliffe.gov.uk

Please note that your comments can be directly entered through the Borough Council's online consultation system: http://rushcliffe-consult.objective.co.uk/portal

Data protection: The details you submit to the Borough Council will be used in the plan making process and may be in use for the lifetime of the Local Plan and associated processes in

accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998. Please note that comments and personal details cannot be treated as confidential and may be made available for public inspection both physically and/or through the Borough Council's website. We may publish all names, addresses and comments received, including on our website. We will use our best endeavours to not publish signatures, personal telephone numbers or email addresses. By sending the Council your details you will automatically be informed of future consultations on planning policy documents unless you indicate otherwise.

Ref: SPS/0058

Date: 25th November 2017

Rushcliffe Borough Council

Planning Services

Rushcliffe Arena,

Rugby Road,

West Bridgford

Nottingham

NG2 7YG

Dear Sir/Madam,

<u>Representations – Rushcliffe Local Plan Part 2 Land & Planning Policies Preferred</u>

<u>Housing Sites – East Bridgford</u>

Stone Planning Services Limited is engaged by Aldergate Properties Limited with regard to the submission of Representations in respect of the Rushcliffe Local Plan Part 2 Land &

Planning Policies Preferred Housing Sites.

East Bridgford

East Bridgford is a settlement that is inset from the Green Belt – it has its own settlement boundary. It is a popular and sought-after place to live, accordingly demand here for housing is high. Facilities within the settlement are good and include a medical centre, everyday shops, Primary School and good connections to public transport; it is a sustainable

location.

There has also been a significant amount of public investment in the new A46 which opened a few years ago. This road lies immediately adjacent East Bridgford and gives access to Grantham / Leicester to the South and Newark / Retford to the North. This should be an important material planning consideration when allocating new sites for development. The A46 investment is of particular importance for East Bridgford making it an even more popular place to live. Housing need should be met which in itself will help sustain the

settlement.

The Sustainability Appraisal indicates there are very few differences in the impact on the Strategic Objectives between the "low growth" and the "medium growth" options. We consider that greater flexibility needs to be embedded in the Part 2 Plan to enable housing

to be delivered at the identified sustainable settlements, such as East Bridgford. Hence, we suggest that the policy be amended to refer to a <u>minimum</u> of 100 dwellings in East Bridgford.

Proposed Allocations

As the Council is aware our client owns land off Closes Side, East Bridgford. These are denoted as sites EBR06 and EBR07 in the consultation document. The sites cover a total area of approximately 1.82 hectares which we consider has capacity for 40-50 dwellings.

Our Client's site would provide an ideal location for market and local needs housing in East Bridgford. It is a modest site that is in a very sustainable location – it is available and deliverable. Having existing development on 3 sides, its development is a logical infill to East Bridgford. To demonstrate its suitability for housing the following assessment is provided for this site:

In terms of the loss of green belt we consider that the site scores low when appraised against the 5 purposes for including land within the Green Belt (para 80 NPPF). Our assessment is set out below

Site Name		Closes Side Lane	
SHLAA Ref		Part of 580	
Strategic Green Belt Area		East Bridgford	
Green Belt Purpose	Score	Justification	
Check unrestricted	2	Removal of this site from the Green Belt is a	
sprawl of settlements		logical rounding the settlement. It would	
		allow for development in a sustainable	
		location that would meet market and local	
		housing need in East Bridgford.	
Prevent merging of	1	It will have no impact on the merger of	
settlements		settlements, this is a small site	
Assist in	2	There would be minimum encroachment on	
safeguarding the		the countryside	
countryside from			
encroachment			

Preserve setting and	2	The site is in proximity to the Conservation
special character of		Area. Accordingly this would need to be
historic settlement		taken into consideration.
Assist in urban	3	There are no specific urban regeneration
regeneration		projects in East Bridgford
Green Belt Score	10	Low

Based on our above analysis this site would fall into the "low" Green Belt score category meaning that it is a suitable site for release in order to meet housing need. This assessment adopts the Council's scoring methodology; however, we consider that a score of 3 for assisting in urban regeneration is high and a more accurate figure would be 1.

We support the allocation of Sites EBR06 and EBR07 for residential purposes. They are deliverable sites in a sustainable location.

We do not agree with the Council that Sites EBR08 and EBR10 have "less impact on the setting of the village". There is no evidence to support this conclusion. Sites EBR08 and EBR10 both lie on the principal road passing through East Bridgford and are highly visible. The setting of the village will clearly and significantly change if developed. The developments would elongate the village to the east, creating ribbon development. Our client's sites represent rounding off of the settlement boundary. The Plan on Page 35 of the Consultation document is a misrepresentational of what is actually on the ground. It does not show Fosters Close; this may have influenced the Council's comment.

Furthermore, the Sustainability Appraisal does not support the Council's conclusion. EBR06 and EBR07 score the same as Site EBR08 save for the impact on Natural Resources, where a "red negative" is ascribed. This score is clearly an error as the same text appears on the SA Assessment for EBR08 yet that scores "Orange negative". We suggest that the Council reviews this and deletes any reference to sites EBR08 and EBR10 having "less impact on the setting of the village."; there is no evidence to support this.

Sites EBR06 and EBR07 could generate in the region of 40 - 50 dwellings including provision of a policy compliant level of affordable housing. Some initial work has been undertaken by

our client such that we can confirm there are no technical highway or drainage matters that

would hinder delivery. We can also confirm there are no legal impediments to delivery.

Existing hedgerows will be retained on site where appropriate and a strengthened landscape

boundary to the new green belt boundary would be established. The site is modest in size

such that early delivery is possible; unlike the many strategic sites in the Borough there is

relatively little front-loaded infrastructure costs.

We attach an indicative Concept Master Plan (Plan 1) for sites EBR06 and EBR07 and are

eager to engage with the Council on the detail of the scheme.

We would be grateful, if you would take consideration of our comments.

Yours faithfully

Paul Stone

Director - Stone Planning Services Limited

Plan 1 – Concept Master Plan

